

| | | |
|---|------------------------|---------------------|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
| | 09/903,755 | BORDER, JOHN |
| | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | Yasin M. Barqadle | 2153 |

All Participants:

(1) Yasin M. Barqadle.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(2) Craig L. Plastrik.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 18 January 2007

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

22-28

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: 101 issue regarding claims 22-28 were discussed. Applicant agreed to change the language of claims 22-28 as shown in the Examiner's amendment. Applicant further agreed to make the changes on page 57 of the specification as shown in the Examiner's amendment..